

General Equilibrium under Uncertainty

Daisuke Oyama

Microeconomics I

July 19, 2016

Contingent Commodities

- ▶ $\ell = 1, \dots, L$: physical commodities
- ▶ $i = 1, \dots, I$: consumers
- ▶ $j = 1, \dots, J$: firms
- ▶ $s = 1, \dots, S$: states of the world
- ▶ State-contingent commodity (ℓ, s) :
a title to receive a unit of commodity ℓ when state s is realized.
- ▶ State-contingent commodity vector:
 $x = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{L1}, \dots, x_{1S}, \dots, x_{LS}) \in \mathbb{R}^{LS}$

- ▶ Endowments for consumer i :

$$\omega_i = (\omega_{i1}, \dots, \omega_{iL}, \dots, \omega_{iS}, \dots, \omega_{iLS}) \in \mathbb{R}^{LS}$$

- ▶ \succsim_i : consumer i 's preference relation on a consumption set
 $X_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{LS}$
- ▶ $Y_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{LS}$: firm j 's production set
- ▶ $y_j \in Y_j$: state-contingent production plan
- ▶ θ_{ij} : share of firm j owned by consumer i
(state independent, for simplicity)

Assumption

- ▶ For every contingent commodity (ℓ, s) , there is a market with price $p_{\ell s}$.
- ▶ These markets open before uncertainty is resolved.

Arrow-Debreu Equilibrium

Definition 1

$(p^*, (x_i^*)_{i=1}^I, (y_j^*)_{j=1}^J) \in \mathbb{R}^{LS} \times \prod_{i=1}^I X_i \times \prod_{j=1}^J Y_j$
is an *Arrow-Debreu equilibrium* if

1. for each j , $p^* \cdot y_j^* \geq p^* \cdot y_j$ for all $y_j \in Y_j$;
2. for each i , $x_i^* \succsim_i x_i$ for all $x_i \in \{x_i \in X_i \mid p^* \cdot x_i \leq p^* \cdot \omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^J \theta_{ij} p^* \cdot y_j^*\}$; and
3. $\sum_{i=1}^I x_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^J y_j^* + \sum_{i=1}^I \omega_i$.

- ▶ This is just a particular case of Walrasian equilibrium.
The Welfare Theorems hold under the usual assumptions.

Asset Markets

- ▶ $\ell = 1, \dots, L$: physical commodities
- ▶ $i = 1, \dots, I$: consumers
- ▶ $s = 1, \dots, S$: states of the world
- ▶ \succsim_i : i 's preference relation on \mathbb{R}_+^{LS}
with a utility function representation U_i
(assumed to be strongly monotone)

- ▶ After uncertainty is resolved, spot markets open at $t = 1$.
- ▶ A price vector at state s is denoted by $p_s \in \mathbb{R}^L$,
and the overall price vector by $p \in \mathbb{R}^{LS}$.

Assets

Asset markets open at $t = 0$.

We consider *real* assets,
where returns are in units of commodity 1.

- ▶ An *asset* is identified with its return vector:

$$r = (r_1, \dots, r_S)' \in \mathbb{R}^S.$$

(Here we always consider vectors as column vectors.)

- ▶ Examples:
 - ▶ $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)'$: “commodity futures”
 - ▶ $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)'$ (i th unit vector):
called an “Arrow security”.

Example: Derivative Assets

- ▶ The *call option* on an asset $r \in \mathbb{R}^S$ (“primary asset”) at the strike price $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$r(c) = (\max\{0, r_1 - c\}, \dots, \max\{0, r_S - c\})'.$$

It gives the option to buy a unit of r at price c *after the state is realized*.

- ▶ For example, if $S = 4$ and $r = (4, 3, 2, 1)'$,

$$r(3.5) = (0.5, 0, 0, 0)'$$

$$r(2.5) = (1.5, 0.5, 0, 0)'$$

$$r(1.5) = (2.5, 1.5, 0.5, 0)'$$

Return Matrix

- ▶ We fix K assets, $r_1, \dots, r_K \in \mathbb{R}^S$, as given.

We assume that $r_k \geq 0$, $r_k \neq 0$ for all k .

- ▶ The $S \times K$ matrix

$$R = (r_1 \quad \cdots \quad r_K) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{S1} & \cdots & r_{SK} \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the *return matrix*.

- ▶ A vector of trades in these assets, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_K)' \in \mathbb{R}^K$, is called a *portfolio*.
- ▶ An asset price vector is denoted by $q = (q_1, \dots, q_K)' \in \mathbb{R}^K$.

Equilibrium

Definition 2

$(q, p, (z_i^*)_{i=1}^I, (x_i^*)_{i=1}^I) \in \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^{LS} \times (\mathbb{R}^K)^I \times (\mathbb{R}_+^{LS})^I$
is a *Radner equilibrium* if:

(i) for all i , (z_i^*, x_i^*) solves

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{z_i \in \mathbb{R}^K, x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{LS}} U_i(x_i) \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_k q_k z_{ki} \leq 0 \\ & \quad \quad p'_s x_{si} \leq p'_s \omega_{si} + \sum_k p_{1s} z_{ki} r_{sk} \text{ for all } s; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\sum_i z_i^* \leq 0$ and $\sum_i x_i^* \leq \sum_i \omega_i$.

Price Normalization and Budget Constraint

- ▶ Normalize $p_{1s} = 1$ for all s .
- ▶ Budget constraint of i :

$$B_i(q, p, R) = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{LS} \mid \exists z_i \in \mathbb{R}^K \text{ s.t.} \\ q'z_i \leq 0 \text{ and } m_i \leq Rz_i\},$$

where

$$m_i = (p'_1(x_{1i} - \omega_{1i}), \dots, p'_S(x_{Si} - \omega_{Si}))' \in \mathbb{R}^S.$$

State Prices

Proposition 1

If $q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is an asset price vector in a Radner equilibrium, then there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^S$ such that $q' = \mu' R$.

- ▶ μ is called a *state price vector*.
- ▶ μ_s is the shadow price of the state-contingent commodity for state s .
- ▶ $q' = \mu' R \iff$

$$\begin{aligned}(q_1 \quad \cdots \quad q_K) &= (\mu_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mu_S) \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{S1} & \cdots & r_{SK} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\sum_s \mu_s r_{s1} \quad \cdots \quad \sum_s \mu_s r_{sK} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof 1 (1/2)

- ▶ $q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is *arbitrage free* if there is no portfolio $z \in \mathbb{R}^K$ such that $q'z \leq 0$, $Rz \geq 0$, and $[q'z \neq 0 \text{ or } Rz \neq 0]$.
- ▶ Under our assumption that $r_k \geq 0$, $r_k \neq 0$ for all k , an arbitrage free price vector must be strictly positive, and hence the above definition is equivalent to the definition in MWG:

$q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is arbitrage free if and only if there is no portfolio $z \in \mathbb{R}^K$ such that $q'z \leq 0$, $Rz \geq 0$, and $Rz \neq 0$.

(I.e., there is no portfolio that is budgetarily feasible and that yields a nonnegative return in every state and a strictly positive return in some state.)

- ▶ Under strongly monotone preferences, an equilibrium asset price vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is arbitrage free.
- ▶ Proposition 1 follows from the following lemma.

Proof 1 (2/2)

Lemma 2

*For any $R \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times K}$,
 $q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is arbitrage free if and only if
there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^S$ such that $q' = \mu' R$.*

- ▶ Proof by “Stiemke’s Lemma”.

Proof 2 (1/2)

- ▶ Choose any consumer i . Assume that U_i has a representation $U_i(x_{1i}, \dots, x_{Si}) = \sum_s \pi_{si} u_{si}(x_{si})$ ($\pi_{si} > 0$) where u_{si} are concave, strictly increasing, and differentiable.
- ▶ Denote by v_{si} the indirect utility function derived from u_{si} .
- ▶ Let q, p be the equilibrium prices, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{z_i \in \mathbb{R}^K} \quad & \sum_s \pi_{si} v_{si}(p_s, p'_s \omega_{si} + \sum_k r_{sk} z_{ki}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_k q_k z_{ki} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The equilibrium portfolio plan z_i^* must satisfy the FOC with some $\alpha_i > 0$ (Lagrange multiplier):

$$\sum_s \pi_{si} \frac{\partial v_{si}}{\partial w_{si}}(p_s, w_{si}^*) r_{sk} = \alpha_i q_k \text{ for all } k,$$

where $w_{si}^* = p'_s \omega_{si} + \sum_k r_{sk} z_{ki}^*$.

Proof 2 (2/2)

- ▶ Define $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^S$ by

$$\mu_s = \frac{\pi_{si}}{\alpha_i} \frac{\partial v_{si}}{\partial w_{si}}(p_s, w_{si}^*).$$

- ▶ This satisfies $q' = \mu' R$.
- ▶ Note: choice of a different consumer may lead to a different μ .

Complete Markets

Definition 3

An asset structure with an $S \times K$ return matrix R is *complete* if $\text{rank } R = S$, i.e.,

$$\{v \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid v = Rz \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{R}^K\} = \mathbb{R}^S.$$

► Example:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not complete.

No portfolio can give, for example, a return vector $(0, 0, 1)'$.

Equivalence between Radner and Arrow-Debreu Equilibria

Proposition 3

Assume that the asset structure is complete.

- (i) *If $(p, x^*) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{LS} \times (\mathbb{R}_+^{LS})^I$ is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium, then there $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^K$ and $z^* \in (\mathbb{R}^K)^I$ such that (q, p, z^*, x^*) is a Radner equilibrium.*

- (ii) *If $(q, p, z^*, x^*) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^K \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^{LS} \times (\mathbb{R}^K)^I \times (\mathbb{R}_+^{LS})^I$ is a Radner equilibrium, then there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^S$ such that $((\mu_1 p_1, \dots, \mu_S p_S), x^*)$ is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium.*

Sketch of the Proof (1/4)

- Denote

$$B_i^{\text{AD}}(p) = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{LS} \mid \sum_s p'_s(x_{si} - \omega_{si}) \leq 0\}$$

and

$$B_i^{\text{R}}(q, p) = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^{LS} \mid \exists z_i \in \mathbb{R}^K \text{ s.t.} \\ q'z_i \leq 0 \text{ and } m_i \leq \Lambda R z_i\},$$

where

$$m_i = (p'_1(x_{1i} - \omega_{1i}), \dots, p'_S(x_{Si} - \omega_{Si}))' \in \mathbb{R}^S.$$

Sketch of the Proof (2/4)

(i) Let (p, x^*) be an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium.

► Denote

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & p_{1S} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Lambda R = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11}r_{11} & \cdots & p_{11}r_{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{1S}r_{S1} & \cdots & p_{1S}r_{SK} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$q' = \mathbf{1}' \Lambda R \quad \left(\iff q_k = \sum_s p_{1s} r_{sk} \quad \forall k \right).$$

Sketch of the Proof (3/4)

▶ WTS: $x_i^* \in B_i^{\text{R}}(q, p)$ and $x_i \in B_i^{\text{R}}(q, p) \Rightarrow x_i \in B_i^{\text{AD}}(p)$.

▶ Let

$$m_i^* = (p'_1(x_{1i}^* - \omega_{1i}), \dots, p'_S(x_{Si}^* - \omega_{Si}))' \in \mathbb{R}^S.$$

▶ Since $\text{rank } \Lambda R = S$ by completeness, for each $i = 1, \dots, I - 1$, there exists z_i^* such that

$$m_i^* = \Lambda R z_i^*.$$

Define

$$z_I^* = -(z_1^* + \dots + z_{I-1}^*).$$

▶ Show $x_i^* \in B_i^{\text{R}}(q, p)$.

Sketch of the Proof (4/4)

(ii) Let (q, p, z^*, x^*) be a Radner equilibrium.

Assume without loss of generality that $p_{1s} = 1$ for all s .

- ▶ By Proposition 1, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^S$ such that $q' = \mu'R$.
- ▶ WTS: $x_i^* \in B_i^{\text{AD}}(\mu_1 p_1, \dots, \mu_S p_S)$ and $x_i \in B_i^{\text{AD}}(\mu_1 p_1, \dots, \mu_S p_S) \Rightarrow x_i \in B_i^{\text{R}}(q, p)$.
- ▶ For the former,

$$\sum_s \mu_s p'_s (x_{si} - \omega_{si}) \leq \sum_s \mu_s (Rz_i)_s = \mu'Rz_i = q'z_i \leq 0.$$

- ▶ For the latter, by the completeness, there exists z_i such that $m_i = Rz_i$.

Then,

$$q'z_i = \mu'Rz_i = \mu'm_i \leq 0.$$