

# Global Games I

Daisuke Oyama

Game Theory I

October 12, 2023

# Binary Actions, Continuum of Players, Symmetric Payoffs

- ▶ Morris, S. and H. S. Shin (2003). “Global Games: Theory and Applications,” in M. Dewatripont, L. P. Hansen, and S. J. Turnovsky, eds., *Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications: Eighth World Congress, Volume 1*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, Section 2.2.

# General Prior, “Common Values”

Global game  $G(\kappa)$ :

- ▶ Continuum of players
- ▶ Actions:  $a \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ (Common) payoff function:  $u: \{0, 1\} \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
  - ▶  $u(a, \ell, \theta)$ : Payoff to action  $a$  when proportion  $\ell$  of opponents play action 1 and the state is  $\theta$
  - ▶ Define  $d(\ell, \theta) = u(1, \ell, \theta) - u(0, \ell, \theta)$
- ▶  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \sim$  density  $p$ : continuous, interval support
- ▶ Each player  $i$  observes a private signal  $x_i = \theta + \kappa \varepsilon_i$ .
  - ▶  $\kappa > 0$
  - ▶  $\varepsilon_i \sim$  density  $f$ : continuous, interval support

# Assumptions

1. Action monotonicity:

$d(\ell, \theta)$  is nondecreasing in  $\ell$ .

2. State monotonicity:

$d(\ell, \theta)$  is nondecreasing in  $\theta$ .

3. Dominance regions:

There exist  $\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

- ▶ if  $\theta \leq \underline{\theta}$ , then  $d(\ell, \theta) < 0$  for all  $\ell \in [0, 1]$ ; and
- ▶ if  $\theta \geq \bar{\theta}$ , then  $d(\ell, \theta) > 0$  for all  $\ell \in [0, 1]$ .

4. Strict Laplacian state monotonicity:

There exists a unique  $\theta^*$  solving  $\int_0^1 d(\ell, \theta) d\ell = 0$ .

## Laplacian Actions

Let  $d(\ell)$  be a complete information game with a continuum of symmetric players and binary actions.

- ▶ Action 1 is a Laplacian action if

$$\int_0^1 d(\ell) d\ell > 0.$$

- ▶ Action 0 is a Laplacian action if

$$\int_0^1 d(\ell) d\ell < 0.$$

- ▶ That is, action  $a$  is a Laplacian action if it is a strict best response to the uniform belief over the proportion of players who play  $a$ .

... Generalization of risk-dominance

# Potential

- ▶ The function

$$v(\ell) = \int_0^{\ell} d(\ell') d\ell'$$

is called a *potential function* of the game  $d(\ell)$ .

- ▶  $v'(\ell) = d(\ell)$
- ▶ Suppose that  $d(\ell)$  is nondecreasing.  
 $\Rightarrow v(\ell)$  is convex, and hence is maximized at  $\ell = 0$  or  $\ell = 1$ .
- ▶  $\ell = 1$  (all playing action 1) is a (unique) potential maximizer if

$$\int_0^1 d(\ell) d\ell > 0.$$

- ▶  $\ell = 0$  (all playing action 0) is a (unique) potential maximizer if

$$\int_0^1 d(\ell) d\ell < 0.$$

## Example: Linear Payoffs

- ▶ Assume  $d(\ell, \theta) = \ell + \theta - 1$
- ▶  $\underline{\theta} = -\delta$  and  $\bar{\theta} = 1 + \delta$  for  $\delta > 0$  small
- ▶  $\int_0^1 d(\ell, \theta) d\ell = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$
- ▶  $\theta^* = \frac{1}{2}$

## Example: Regime Change Game

- ▶ Assume

$$d(\ell, \theta) = \begin{cases} -c & \text{if } \ell \leq 1 - \theta \\ 1 - c & \text{if } \ell > 1 - \theta \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < c < 1$

- ▶  $\underline{\theta} = -\delta$  and  $\bar{\theta} = 1 + \delta$  for  $\delta > 0$  small
- ▶  $\int_0^1 d(\ell, \theta) d\ell = \theta - c$
- ▶  $\theta^* = c$



## Uniform Prior, “Private Values”

- ▶ For  $\kappa$  small,  $G(\kappa)$  is approximated by the “simplified version”  $G^*(\kappa)$  where  $\theta$  follows uniform prior (instead of general prior) and  $d$  depends on signal  $x_i$  (instead of state  $\theta$ ).
  - ▶ When  $\kappa$  small,  $x_i$  is close to  $\theta$ , and
  - ▶ the prior does not matter.

Simplified global game  $G^*(\kappa)$ :

- ▶  $\theta \sim$  Uniform prior on some large interval  $[a, b]$
- ▶  $d(\ell, x)$ : Payoff difference for  $a = 1$  over  $a = 0$  when proportion  $\ell$  of opponents play action 1 and the signal is  $x$

# Uniqueness

## Proposition 1

*The essentially unique strategy  $s$  surviving iterated deletion of strictly dominated strategies in  $G^*(\kappa)$  satisfies  $s(x) = 0$  for all  $x < \theta^*$  and  $s(x) = 1$  for all  $x > \theta^*$ .*

## Uniform Prior

- ▶ Suppose that  $\theta$  follows a uniform distribution  $p$  on a large interval  $[a, b]$ :  $p(\theta) = \frac{1}{b-a}$ .
- ▶ The conditional density  $f(\theta|x)$  of  $\theta$  given signal  $x = \theta + \kappa\varepsilon$  (for  $x$  away from the boundary):

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta|x) &= \frac{\frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\kappa}\right) p(\theta)}{\int \frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x-\theta'}{\kappa}\right) p(\theta') d\theta'} \\ &= \frac{f\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\kappa}\right)}{\int f\left(\frac{x-\theta'}{\kappa}\right) d\theta'} \\ &= \frac{f\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\kappa}\right)}{\int \kappa f(z) dz} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\kappa}\right). \end{aligned}$$

## Heuristic Argument—Contagion

- ▶ By Dominance regions, players observing a signal above some threshold  $\bar{\xi}_1$  play 1.
- ▶ Assuming that players with signals above  $\bar{\xi}_1$  play 1, by Action monotonicity and State monotonicity, players observing a signal above some threshold  $\bar{\xi}_2$  play 1, where  $\bar{\xi}_2 \leq \bar{\xi}_1$ .
- ▶ ...
- ▶ We have  $\bar{\xi}_1 \geq \bar{\xi}_2 \geq \dots \searrow \bar{\xi}^*$ .
- ▶ Similarly, from below we have  $\underline{\xi}_1 \leq \underline{\xi}_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \underline{\xi}^*$ .

- ▶ In the limit, a player with signal  $\bar{\xi}^*$  when opponents play 1 above  $\bar{\xi}^*$  and 0 below  $\bar{\xi}^*$  must be indifferent between playing 1 and 0.
- ▶ A player with signal  $x$  when opponents play 1 above  $x$  and 0 below  $x$  has a uniform belief over the proportion of opponents playing 1.
- ▶ By Strict Laplacian state monotonicity, it must be that  $\bar{\xi}^* = \theta^*$ .
- ▶ The same applies to  $\underline{\xi}^*$ : thus  $\underline{\xi}^* = \theta^*$ .
- ▶ Hence, uniqueness holds with  $\bar{\xi}^* = \underline{\xi}^* = \theta^*$ .

# Laplacian Belief

- ▶ Suppose that players play the  $k$ -threshold strategy.

( $k$ -threshold strategy plays action 1 iff  $x = \theta + \kappa\varepsilon \geq k$  iff  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{k-\theta}{\kappa}$ )

- ▶ Proportion of players who play 1 given  $\theta$ :

$$1 - F\left(\frac{k - \theta}{\kappa}\right)$$

- Distribution of the proportion of players who play 1 conditional on signal  $x_i = k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(1 - F\left(\frac{k - \theta}{\kappa}\right) \leq \ell \mid x_i = k\right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{k - \kappa F^{-1}(1 - \ell)} \left(1 - F\left(\frac{k - \theta}{\kappa}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\kappa}\right) d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{F^{-1}(1 - \ell)} (1 - F(z)) f(z) dz \\ &= P(1 - F(\varepsilon_i) \leq \ell) \\ &= P(\varepsilon_i \geq F^{-1}(1 - \ell)) \\ &= 1 - F(F^{-1}(1 - \ell)) = \ell. \end{aligned}$$

... Uniform distribution

## Proof of Proposition 1 (under additional assumption)

- ▶ Write  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, k)$  for the expected payoff gain when the player observes signal  $x$  and others play the  $k$ -threshold strategy:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\kappa}^*(x, k) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\left(1 - F\left(\frac{k - \theta}{\kappa}\right), x\right) \frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\kappa}\right) d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\left(1 - F\left(z + \frac{k - x}{\kappa}\right), x\right) f(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

( $k$ -threshold strategy plays action 1 iff  $x = \theta + \kappa\varepsilon \geq k$  iff  $\varepsilon \geq \frac{k - \theta}{\kappa}$ )

- ▶ By Action monotonicity and State monotonicity,  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, k)$  is nondecreasing in  $x$  and nonincreasing in  $k$ .
- ▶ We assume that  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, k)$  is continuous in  $(x, k)$ .
  - ▶ Satisfied if  $d(\ell, x)$  is continuous in  $(\ell, x)$ .
  - ▶ Satisfied in the regime change game.



- ▶ Define  $\underline{\xi}_0, \underline{\xi}_1, \underline{\xi}_2, \dots$  by  $\underline{\xi}_0 = -\infty$  and

$$\underline{\xi}_{n+1} = \inf\{x \mid D_{\kappa}^*(x, \underline{\xi}_n) = 0\}.$$

By continuity,  $D_{\kappa}^*(\underline{\xi}_{n+1}, \underline{\xi}_n) = 0$ .

- ▶ Then we have  $\underline{\xi}_0 \leq \underline{\xi}_1 \leq \underline{\xi}_2 \leq \dots$ :

- ▶  $\underline{\xi}_0 = -\infty < \underline{\theta} \leq \underline{\xi}_1$  by Dominance regions.

- ▶ Suppose that  $\underline{\xi}_{n-1} \leq \underline{\xi}_n$ .

If  $x < \underline{\xi}_n$ , then  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, \underline{\xi}_n) \leq D_{\kappa}^*(x, \underline{\xi}_{n-1}) \leq D_{\kappa}^*(\underline{\xi}_n, \underline{\xi}_{n-1}) = 0$   
 since  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, k)$  is nonincreasing in  $k$  and nondecreasing in  $x$ .

But by the definition of  $\underline{\xi}_n$ , we must have  $D_{\kappa}^*(x, \underline{\xi}_n) < 0$ .

By  $D_{\kappa}^*(\underline{\xi}_{n+1}, \underline{\xi}_n) = 0$ , we have  $\underline{\xi}_{n+1} \geq \underline{\xi}_n$ .

- ▶ Symmetrically, define  $\bar{\xi}_0, \bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2, \dots$  by  $\bar{\xi}_0 = \infty$  and

$$\bar{\xi}_{n+1} = \sup\{x \mid D_{\kappa}^*(x, \bar{\xi}_n) = 0\}.$$

By continuity,  $D_{\kappa}^*(\bar{\xi}_{n+1}, \bar{\xi}_n) = 0$ .

- ▶ Then we have  $\bar{\xi}_0 \geq \bar{\xi}_1 \geq \bar{\xi}_2 \geq \dots$ .
- ▶ Then a strategy  $s$  survives  $n$  rounds of iterated deletion of strictly dominated strategies if and only if

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < \underline{\xi}_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \bar{\xi}_n. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Now let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $\underline{\xi}_n$  converges to some  $\underline{\xi}_*$  ( $> \underline{\theta}$ ) and  $\bar{\xi}_n$  converges to some  $\bar{\xi}_*$  ( $< \bar{\theta}$ ).

- ▶ By continuity,  $D_{\kappa}^*(\underline{\xi}_*, \underline{\xi}_*) = 0$  and  $D_{\kappa}^*(\bar{\xi}_*, \bar{\xi}_*) = 0$ .

- ▶ For any  $x$  and  $\kappa$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\kappa}^*(x, x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\left(1 - F\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\kappa}\right), x\right) \frac{1}{\kappa} f\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\kappa}\right) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 d(\ell, x) d\ell \end{aligned}$$

(by change of variables  $\ell = 1 - F\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\kappa}\right)$ ).

- ▶ Therefore, by Strict Laplacian state monotonicity,

$$D_{\kappa}^*(\underline{\xi}_*, \underline{\xi}_*) = 0 \text{ and } D_{\kappa}^*(\bar{\xi}_*, \bar{\xi}_*) = 0 \text{ imply that } \underline{\xi}_* = \bar{\xi}_* = \theta^*.$$