

## 7. Separating Hyperplane Theorems I

Daisuke Oyama

Mathematics II

May 2, 2025

# Separating Hyperplane Theorem

## Proposition 7.1 (Separating Hyperplane Theorem)

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is convex and closed, and that  $b \notin C$ .*

*Then there exist  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$p \cdot y \leq c < p \cdot b \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

### Lemma 7.2

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is closed, and that  $b \notin C$ .*

*Let  $\delta = \inf\{\|z - b\| \mid z \in C\}$ .*

*Then  $\delta > 0$ , and there exists  $y^* \in C$  such that  $\delta = \|y^* - b\|$ .*

### Lemma 7.3

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is closed and convex, and that  $b \notin C$ .*

*Let  $y^* \in C$  be such that  $\|y^* - b\| = \min\{\|z - b\| \mid z \in C\}$ . Then*

$$(b - y^*) \cdot (z - y^*) \leq 0 \text{ for all } z \in C.$$

### Lemma 7.4

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is closed and convex, and that  $b \notin C$ .*

*Then there exists a unique  $y^* \in C$  such that*

$$\|y^* - b\| = \min\{\|z - b\| \mid z \in C\}.$$

## Proof of Lemma 7.3

- ▶ Let  $y^* \in C$  be such that  $\|b - y^*\| = \min\{\|b - z\| \mid z \in C\}$ .
- ▶ Take any  $z \in C$  and any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .
- ▶ Since  $(1 - \alpha)y^* + \alpha z \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|b - y^*\|^2 &\leq \|b - [(1 - \alpha)y^* + \alpha z]\|^2 \\ &= \|(b - y^*) - \alpha(z - y^*)\|^2 \\ &= \|b - y^*\|^2 - 2\alpha(b - y^*) \cdot (z - y^*) + \alpha^2\|z - y^*\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$(b - y^*) \cdot (z - y^*) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\|z - y^*\|^2.$$

- ▶ Then let  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

# Proof of Proposition 7.1

- ▶ Let  $y^* \in C$  be as in Lemma 7.4.
- ▶ By Lemma 7.2,  $(y^* - b) \cdot (y^* - b) > 0$ .
- ▶ By Lemma 7.3,  $(b - y^*) \cdot (z - y^*) \leq 0$  for all  $z \in C$ .
- ▶ Therefore,

$$(b - y^*) \cdot z \leq (b - y^*) \cdot y^* < (b - y^*) \cdot b$$

for all  $z \in C$ .

- ▶ Let  $p = b - y^*$  and  $c = (b - y^*) \cdot y^*$ .

# Dual Representation of a Convex Set

For  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $K \neq \emptyset$ , define the function  $\phi_K: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  by

$$\phi_K(p) = \sup_{x \in K} p \cdot x,$$

which is called the *support function* of  $K$ .

## Proposition 7.5

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $K \neq \emptyset$ , be a closed convex set. Then

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p \cdot x \leq \phi_K(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

More generally, for any nonempty set  $K$ ,

$$\text{Cl}(\text{Co } K) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p \cdot x \leq \phi_K(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

# Proof

►  $K \subset (\text{RHS})$ : By definition.

►  $K \supset (\text{RHS})$ :

Let  $b \notin K$ .

► Since  $K$  is closed and convex, by the Separating Hyperplane Theorem, there exist  $\bar{p} \neq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\bar{p} \cdot z \leq c < \bar{p} \cdot b \text{ for all } z \in K,$$

and hence

$$\phi_K(\bar{p}) = \sup_{z \in K} \bar{p} \cdot z < \bar{p} \cdot b.$$

► This means that  $b \notin (\text{RHS})$ .

# Supporting Hyperplane Theorem

## Proposition 7.6 (Supporting Hyperplane Theorem)

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is convex, and that  $b \notin \text{Int } C$ . Then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that*

$$p \cdot y \leq p \cdot b \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

For proof, we will use the following fact:

### Fact 1

For any convex set  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\text{Int } C = \text{Int}(\text{Cl } C)$ .

The equality does not hold in general for nonconvex sets; for example,  $[0, 1/2) \cup (1/2, 1]$ .



## Proof

- ▶ Let  $b \notin \text{Int } C$ .

Since  $C$  is convex,  $b \notin \text{Int}(\text{Cl } C)$  by Fact 1.

- ▶ Therefore, there is a sequence  $\{b^m\}$  with  $b^m \notin \text{Cl } C$  such that  $b^m \rightarrow b$ .
- ▶ Since  $C$  is convex,  $\text{Cl } C$  is also convex (Proposition 4.12).
- ▶ Then by the Separating Hyperplane Theorem, for each  $m$  there exists  $p^m \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p^m \neq 0$  such that

$$p^m \cdot y < p^m \cdot b^m \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

- ▶ Without loss of generality we assume that  $\|p^m\| = 1$  for all  $m$ .
- ▶  $\{p^m\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{p^{m_k}\}$  with a limit  $p$ , where  $p \neq 0$  since  $\|p\| = 1$ .
- ▶ Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $p \cdot y \leq p \cdot b$  for all  $y \in C$ .

# Separating Hyperplane Theorem

## Proposition 7.7 (Separating Hyperplane Theorem)

*Suppose that  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A, B \neq \emptyset$ , are convex, and that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

*Then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that*

$$p \cdot x \leq p \cdot y \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

# Proof

- ▶ Since  $A$  and  $B$  are convex,  
 $A - B = \{x - y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$  is also convex  
(Proposition 4.5).
- ▶ Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin A - B$ .
- ▶ Thus by the Supporting Hyperplane Theorem, there exists  
 $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that

$$p \cdot z \leq p \cdot 0 \text{ for all } z \in A - B,$$

or

$$p \cdot x \leq p \cdot y \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

# Separating Hyperplane Theorem

## Proposition 7.8 (Strong Separating Hyperplane Theorem)

*Suppose that  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A, B \neq \emptyset$ , are convex and closed, and that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

*If  $A$  or  $B$  is bounded, then there exist  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$p \cdot x \leq c_1 < c_2 \leq p \cdot y \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

## Proof

- ▶ Since  $A$  and  $B$  are convex,  $A - B$  is also convex.
- ▶ Since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed and  $A$  or  $B$  is bounded,  $A - B$  is closed. ( $\rightarrow$  Homework)
- ▶ Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin A - B$ .
- ▶ Thus by the Separating Hyperplane Theorem, there exist  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$p \cdot z \leq c < p \cdot 0 \text{ for all } z \in A - B,$$

or

$$p \cdot (x - y) \leq c < 0 \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

- ▶ Thus we have

$$\sup_{x \in A} p \cdot x - \inf_{y \in B} p \cdot y \leq c < 0.$$

Let  $c_1 = \sup_{x \in A} p \cdot x$  and  $c_2 = \inf_{y \in B} p \cdot y$ , where  $c_1 < c_2$ .

# Separation with Nonnegative/Positive Vectors

## Lemma 7.9

*For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , suppose that  $A - \mathbb{R}_{++}^N \subset A$ .*

*For  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , if there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p \cdot x \leq c$  for all  $x \in A$ , then  $p \geq 0$ .*

## Proof

► Assume that  $p_n < 0$ .

► Fix any  $x^0 \in A$ .

We have  $x^0 - (te_n + \mathbf{1}) \in A - \mathbb{R}_{++}^N \subset A$  for all  $t > 0$ , while  $p \cdot [x^0 - (te_n + \mathbf{1})] = p \cdot x^0 - tp_n - p \cdot \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , contradicting the assumption that  $p \cdot x \leq c$  for all  $x \in A$ .

# Separation with Nonnegative/Positive Vectors

## Proposition 7.10

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is convex.*

*If  $C \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^N = \emptyset$ , then there exists  $p \geq 0$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that*

$$p \cdot x \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

## Proof

- ▶ Let  $A = C - \mathbb{R}_{++}^N$ .
- ▶ Since  $C$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^N$  are convex,  $A$  is also convex.
- ▶ Since  $C \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^N = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin A$ .
- ▶ Thus by the Supporting Hyperplane Theorem, there exists  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that

$$p \cdot z \leq p \cdot 0 \text{ for all } z \in A.$$

- ▶ Since  $A - \mathbb{R}_{++}^N \subset A$ , we have  $p \geq 0$  by Lemma 7.9.
- ▶ We have

$$p \cdot x \leq p \cdot y \text{ for all } x \in C \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^N.$$

Letting  $y \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $p \cdot x \leq 0$  for all  $x \in C$ .



# Separation with Nonnegative/Positive Vectors

## Proposition 7.11

*Suppose that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ , is convex and closed.*

*If  $C \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N = \{0\}$ , then there exist  $p \gg 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  such that*

$$p \cdot x \leq c \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

## Proof

- ▶ Let  $\Delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \mid x_1 + \cdots + x_N = 1\}$ .
- ▶  $C$  is convex and closed and  $\Delta$  is convex and compact.
- ▶ Since  $C \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N = \{0\}$ ,  $C \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ .
- ▶ Thus by Proposition 7.8, there exist  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $p \neq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$p \cdot x \leq c < p \cdot y \text{ for all } x \in C \text{ and } y \in \Delta,$$

where  $c \geq 0$  since  $0 \in C$ .

- ▶ For each  $n$ , since  $e_n \in \Delta$ , we have  $0 \leq c < p \cdot e_n = p_n$ .

# Efficient Production

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be the production set of a firm.

## Definition 7.1

- ▶ A production vector  $y \in Y$  is *efficient* if there is no  $y' \in Y$  such that  $y' \geq y$  and  $y' \neq y$ .
- ▶  $y \in Y$  is *weakly efficient* if there is no  $y' \in Y$  such that  $y' \gg y$ .
- ▶  $y$ : efficient  $\Rightarrow y$ : weakly efficient

## Proposition 7.12

*Suppose that  $Y$  is convex.*

*Then for any weakly efficient production vector  $\bar{y} \in Y$ , there exists  $p \geq 0$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that*

$$p \cdot \bar{y} \geq p \cdot y \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

## Proof

- ▶ Let  $\bar{y} \in Y$  be weakly efficient.
- ▶ Then  $(Y - \{\bar{y}\}) \cap \mathbb{R}_{++}^N = \emptyset$ , where  $Y - \{\bar{y}\}$  is convex.
- ▶ Thus by Proposition 7.10, there exists  $p \geq 0$  with  $p \neq 0$  such that  $p \cdot z \leq 0$  for all  $z \in Y - \{\bar{y}\}$ , or  $p \cdot y \leq p \cdot \bar{y}$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

## From Profit Function to Production Set

- ▶ Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , be the production set of a firm, and let  $\phi_Y: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be the support function of  $Y$ :

$$\phi_Y(p) = \sup_{y \in Y} p \cdot y.$$

- ▶ Suppose that  $Y$  is convex and closed.

Then, as we have seen,

$$Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p \cdot y \leq \phi_Y(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

- ▶ What additional assumptions are needed to recover  $Y$  from the profit function, which is defined only for nonnegative, or positive, price vectors (where we allow the profit function to take values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$ )?

- ▶ *Free disposal:*  $Y - \mathbb{R}_+^N \subset Y$ .
- ▶ *No free production:*  $Y \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N \subset \{0\}$ .
- ▶ *The ability to shut down:*  $0 \in Y$ .

### Proposition 7.13

1. *If  $Y$  is nonempty, convex, and closed and satisfies free disposal, then*

$$Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p \cdot y \leq \phi_Y(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}_+^N\}.$$

2. *If  $Y$  is nonempty, convex, and closed and satisfies free disposal, no free production, and the ability to shut down, then*

$$Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p \cdot y \leq \phi_Y(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^N\}.$$

# Proof

1

- ▶  $Y \subset (\text{RHS})$ : Immediate.
- ▶  $Y^c \subset (\text{RHS})^c$ : Suppose that  $\bar{y} \notin Y$ .
- ▶ Since  $Y$  is nonempty, convex, and closed, there exist  $\bar{p} \neq 0$  and  $c$  such that

$$\bar{p} \cdot y \leq c < \bar{p} \cdot \bar{y} \text{ for all } y \in Y,$$

and hence  $\phi_Y(\bar{p}) < \bar{p} \cdot \bar{y}$ , by the Separating Hyperplane Theorem.

- ▶ Since  $Y$  satisfies free disposal, i.e.,  $Y - \mathbb{R}_+^N \subset Y$  (which implies  $Y - \mathbb{R}_{++}^N \subset Y$ ), we have  $\bar{p} \geq 0$  by Lemma 7.9.
- ▶ Hence,  $\bar{y} \notin (\text{RHS})$ .

# Proof

2

- ▶  $Y \subset (\text{RHS})$ : Immediate.
- ▶  $Y^c \subset (\text{RHS})^c$ : Suppose that  $\bar{y} \notin Y$ .
- ▶ Since  $Y$  is nonempty, convex, and closed and satisfies free disposal, there exist  $p^1 \neq 0$  with  $p^1 \geq 0$  and  $c_1$  such that

$$p^1 \cdot y \leq c_1 < p^1 \cdot \bar{y} \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

- ▶ Since  $Y \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N = \{0\}$  by no free production and the ability to shut down, by Proposition 7.11 there exist  $p^2 \gg 0$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$p^2 \cdot y \leq c_2 \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

- ▶ Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough that  $c_1 + \varepsilon c_2 < p^1 \cdot \bar{y} + \varepsilon p^2 \cdot \bar{y}$ .



► Then we have

$$(p^1 + \varepsilon p^2) \cdot y \leq c_1 + \varepsilon c_2 < (p^1 + \varepsilon p^2) \cdot \bar{y} \text{ for all } y \in Y,$$

and hence,  $\phi_Y(p^1 + \varepsilon p^2) < (p^1 + \varepsilon p^2) \cdot \bar{y}$ . where  $p^1 + \varepsilon p^2 \gg 0$ .

► Hence,  $\bar{y} \notin (\text{RHS})$ .

# Subgradients and Subdifferentials

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-empty convex set.

## Definition 7.2

For a function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{x} \in X$ , if

$$f(x) \leq f(\bar{x}) + p \cdot (x - \bar{x})$$

holds for all  $x \in X$ , then

- ▶  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is called a *subgradient* of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$ ,
- ▶ the set of all subgradients of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$ , denoted by  $\partial f(\bar{x})$ , is called the *subdifferential* of  $f$  at  $\bar{x}$ , and
- ▶ the correspondence  $x \mapsto \partial f(x)$  is called the subdifferential of  $f$ .

(Usually a subgradient is defined to be  $p$  that satisfies the converse inequality, and sometimes  $p$  that satisfies the above inequality is called a *supergradient*.)

# Subgradients and Subdifferentials

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a non-empty convex set.

## Proposition 7.14

*Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave.*

*If  $\bar{x} \in \text{Int } X$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then  $\partial f(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla f(\bar{x})\}$ .*

## Proposition 7.15

*Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave.*

*Then  $\partial f(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\bar{x} \in \text{Int } X$ .*

## Fact 2

*Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave.*

*If  $\partial f(\bar{x}) = \{p\}$ , then  $f$  is differentiable at  $\bar{x}$  (and  $p = \nabla f(\bar{x})$ ).*

## Proof of Proposition 7.15

- ▶ Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a concave function, and let  $\bar{x} \in \text{Int } X$ .
- ▶  $\text{hyp } f$  is convex by the concavity of  $f$ .
- ▶ We also have  $(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})) \notin \text{Int}(\text{hyp } f)$ .
- ▶ Thus by the Supporting Hyperplane Theorem, there exists  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$  such that

$$p \cdot x + qy \geq p \cdot \bar{x} + q(f(\bar{x})) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \text{hyp } f.$$

- ▶ We must have  $q < 0$ :
  - ▶ If  $q > 0$ , as  $y \rightarrow -\infty$  the inequality would be violated.
  - ▶ If  $q = 0$ , we would have  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \cdot x \geq p \cdot \bar{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\bar{x} \in \text{Int } X$ .

Letting  $x = \bar{x} - \varepsilon p$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  leads to a contradiction.

So that we may let  $q = -1$ .

► Therefore, we in particular have

$$p \cdot x - f(x) \geq p \cdot \bar{x} - f(\bar{x}) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

or

$$f(x) \leq f(\bar{x}) + p \cdot (x - \bar{x}) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which means that  $p \in \partial f(\bar{x})$ .